PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

Translation INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference										
R9103WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416								
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)								
PCT/FR2004/001900	16.07.2004	18.07.2003								
International Patent Classification (IPC) or nati	onal classification and IPC									
H04L27/26, H04L25/02,	H04B7/005									
Applicant										
TDF										
1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.										
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	6 sheets, inclu	uding this cover sheet.								
This report is also accompanied by Al										
	· -									
		sheets. as follows:								
sheets containing rec Instructions).	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).									
		considers contain an amendment that goes beyond ated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental								
b (sent to the International B	ureau only) a total of (indicate type and nun	mber of electronic carrier(s))								
		, containing a sequence listing and/or tables								
related thereto, in computer a Section 802 of the Administra	eadable form only, as indicated in the Supative Instructions).	oplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see								
4. This report contains indications relating	g to the following items:									
\square	-									
. Box No. I Basis of the r	eport									
Box No. II Priority										
Box No. III Non-establish	ment of opinion with regard to novelty, invo	entive step and industrial applicability								
Box No. IV Lack of unity	of invention									
Box No. V Reasoned state citations and c	ement under Article 35(2) with regard to no explanations supporting such statement	ovelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;								
Box No. VI Certain docur	nents cited									
Box No. VII Certain defect	s in the international application	al application								
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application										
ate of submission of the demand	Date of completion of	this report								
(many and mailing add as a Call Try) a con-		·								
ame and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	I Authorized officer	Authorized officer								

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/FR2004/001900

Box No. 1	Basis of the report							
1. With re indicate	egard to the language, this report is based on the internati ed under this item.	onal application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise						
T T	This report is based on translations from the original langue which is the language of a translation furnished for the pur	age into the following languageposes of:						
<u> </u>	international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))							
	publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)							
L.	international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3)							
2. With reg receiving this repo	ig Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 ar	s report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the re referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to						
the	e international application as originally filed/furnished							
∠ the	e description:							
pa	nges 1-12	as originally filed/furnished						
pa	ages*	received by this Authority on						
pa	ges*	received by this Authority on						
⊠ the	e claims:							
nos	s. <u>1–14</u>	as originally filed/furnished						
nos	ss.*	as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19						
nos	s.*	received by this Authority on						
nos	s.*	received by this Authority on						
⊠ the	drawings:							
she	ects 1/4-4/4	as originally filed/furnished						
she	eets*							
shee	rets*							
	equence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Suppleme							
. \square		and box Relating to Sequence Listing.						
3 1ne	e amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:							
	the description, pages							
	the claims, nos.							
	the drawings, sheets/figs							
H								
. ㅡ ㅡ	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):							
f. This they	s report has been established as if (some of) the amendn y have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as file	nents annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since ed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).						
	the description, pages							
	the claims, nos.							
	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):							
If item 4 ap	pplies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "super							

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/001900

Box	No. V Reasoned states citations and ex	tent under A planations su	rticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; pporting such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	7, 10	YES
		Claims	1-6, 8, 9, 11-14	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-14	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-14	YES
		Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

1. Documents

- 1.1 In the present report, reference is made to the following documents cited in the search report:
 - D1: EP-A-0 762703 (TELEDIFFUSION FSE ;FRANCE TELECOM (FR)) 12 March 1997 (1997-03-12);
 - **D2:** EP-A-1 320 232 (TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INC) 18 June 2003 (2003-06-18).
- Novelty and inventive step PCT Article 33(2) and 33(3)
- 2.1 The present application does not fulfil the requirements set forth in PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claims 1 and 14 does not comply with the requirement of novelty defined in PCT Article 33(2).

In accordance with the terminology in **claim 1** of the present application, **document D1** describes

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/001900

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

(the references between parentheses apply to said document):

a method for estimating a propagation channel consisting of a series of multicarrier signal symbols (the abstract, lines 1-9) each of which includes at least one reference pilot (page 5, lines 16-19), and a plurality of data-carrying frequencies (page 5, lines 20-23), said method being characterised in that it includes at least one step of correcting said reference pilot(s) (page 8, lines 22-24; figure 8, unit 311) on the basis of a first estimation of a propagation channel (page 8, lines 20-21; figure 3, units 315 and 316) and in such a way that a second, more accurate, estimation of said channel is supplied (figure 3, signal 312).

It follows that the subject matter of claim 1 is not novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

- 2.2 The same line of reasoning applies mutatis mutandis to the subject matter of claim 14.
- 2.3 The subject matter of claims 1 and 14 is also described in document D2, paragraphs 35-37 and figure 3.
- 2.4 Dependent claims 2-13 do not contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of claim 1, are novel or involve an

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/001900

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

inventive step.

The subject matter of **claim 2** is described in **document D1**, page 8, lines 20-21.

The subject matter of **claims 3-5** is described in **document D1**, page 5, lines 41-50.

The subject matter of **claim 6** is very vague and is, therefore, described in **document D1**, page 5, lines 41-50.

The subject matter of **claim 7** is not inventive because it is part of the routine practice of rejecting low-quality measurements during error evaluation.

The subject matter of **claims 8-9** is described in **document D1**, page 6, lines 10-21.

The subject matter of **claim 10** is not inventive because using the pulse response peak to achieve time synchronisation is a technical step well known to a person skilled in the art.

The subject matter of **claim 11** is described in **document D1**, page 6, lines 10-21.

The subject matter of **claim 12** is described in **document D1**, figure 3, units 316 and 311.

The subject matter of claim 13 is described in

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/001900

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement										
	document	D1,	page	2,	lines	7-12	and	page	6.	lines	
	10-21.							1 5 -	٠,	111100	
	•										
								*			
											1
											- 1
											1
											- 1
											İ
											- 1
											l